

ORIGINAL
JOHNSON UTILITIES, L.L.C.

5230 East Shea Boulevard * Scottsdale, Arizona 852.
PH: (480) 998-3300; FAX: (480) 483-7908



52

April 2, 2007

Mr. Brian Bozzo
Compliance Section
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

APR 10 2007

DOCKETED BY	nr
-------------	----

RE: Johnson Utilities, L.L.C.: Compliance with Decision No. 68235
RE: Quarterly Reports on the status of the pending La Osa and Sonoran litigation
WS-02987A-05-0088

Dear Mr. Bozzo:

Pursuant to the above referenced decision, Johnson Utilities hereby submits this compliance filing in accordance with the Commission's orders. Attached is the quarterly report on the La Osa litigation hereto as Attachment 1. As previously stated the Sonoran litigation has been settled with all Johnson defendants and this matter is closed.

If staff would like to see any of the court documents listed on the summary or would like any additional information in regards to this compliance item, please let me know and it will be provided. Thank you for your time and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Daniel Hodges
Johnson Utilities, LLC

Cc: Docket Control (1 original, 17 copies)
Richard Sallquist, Sallquist, Drummond & O'Connor

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCUMENT CONTROL

2007 APR 10 P 4:10

RECEIVED

ATTACHMENT 1

Status Report for State of Arizona v. Johnson et al., CV2005-002692
January 9, 2007 – March 28, 2007

Depositions: Depositions taken in this case from January 9, 2007 through March 20, 2007 were:

Raffi Karamiam	1/12/07
Steven L. Evans	1/12/07
Richard Pyde	2/5/07
Michael Griffis Milton	2/6/07
Michael Edward Anable	2/8/07
Kenneth R. Maits	2/28/07
Brian Jansen	3/9/07
Rick Sherrock	3/15/07

Court Dates: An Interim Pretrial Management Conference is set for April 9, 2007 before Judge Kenneth Fields.

Ruling on Motions: Special Master made the following recommendations on March 26, 2007:

1. State's Motion to Compel Disclosure of Financial Assets- Denied
2. Defendants' Motion to Compel Production of ADEQ Documents- Denied
3. State's Motion to Compel Disclosure and Request for In Camera Review- Defendant must produce a privilege log & unredacted document, otherwise motion denied.
4. State's Motion for Lift (or, in the Alternative, Raise) the Presumptive Limit on Requests for Admission- State must raise the issue with Judge Fields
5. Defendant's Motion for Sanctions Pursuant to Rule 37(C)(1),(D): Disclosure of Witnesses and Information- Defendant may do follow-up Depositions on Mr. Traubert or Mr. Lanza, otherwise motion denied